



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/893,676	06/29/2001	Hyeon Ho Son	49128-5018	9570	
	9629 7	590 03/22/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			nguyen, Jennifer T		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2674		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/893,676	SON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jennifer T Nguyen	2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;			
•	or Reply						
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r op period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	June 2001.					
2a)⊠	·	nis action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	· · · · · ·	-				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	e			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	: received.				
Attachme							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2674

ソ

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 01/05/2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 12-19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zavracky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,552,704).

Regarding claims 1, 13 and 16, referring to Figs. 12A-12C, Zavracky teaches a method of driving a liquid crystal display device (1112) during one display frame, comprising the steps of applying one of a high level common voltage (Vcom high) and a low level common voltage (Vcom low) to a plurality of liquid crystal cells of the liquid crystal display device (1112) to write data into the liquid crystal cells within a time interval shorter than one display frame interval; applying a reference common voltage (Vcom) to the plurality of liquid crystal cells (Cpix); and turning on a backlight (1111) after said data writing to display an image (col. 10, lines 37-67, col. 11, lines 1-46, and col. 13, lines 23-49).

Regarding claims 2 and 15, referring to Fig. 12B, Zavracky further teaches after applying one of the high-level common voltage and the low-level common voltage, the liquid crystal cells to respond according to the data written between the time when the data is written and when the backlight (1111) is turned on (col. 11, lines 1-35).

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Zavracky teaches the reference common voltage (Vcom) lower than the high-level common voltage and greater than the low-level common voltage (Fig. 12C, col. 10, line 66 to col. 11, line 22).

Regarding claims 4 and 17, referring to Fig. 12B, Zavracky teaches re-aligning the liquid crystal cells after the step of turning on the backlight (col. 13, lines 23-49).

Regarding claims 5 and 18, Zavracky teaches at the step of re-aligning, one of the high-level common voltage or the low-level common voltage is applied (Fig. 12B).

Regarding claims 6 and 19, Zavracky teaches at the step of re-aligning, a common voltage having a polarity opposite to the common voltage applied when the data is written is applied (col. 13, lines 23-37).

Regarding claim 7, Zavracky teaches when data is being written, an effective voltage remaining in the liquid crystal cell is larger than a data voltage applied to the liquid crystal cell (col. 11, line 64 to col. 12, lines 8).

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Zavracky teaches the driving method is applied to twisted nematic mode liquid crystal display device (col. 13, lines 1-2).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claims 8-11 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zavracky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,552,704).

Regarding claims 8, 10, 20, and 22, Zavracky differs from claims 8, 10, 20, and 22 in that he does not specifically teach the high-level common voltage is equal to or more than +15V. Zavracky teaches the high-level common voltage is 8 volts (col. 10, lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to obtain the high-level common voltage is equal to or more than +15V in order to reduce the generation of a residual image and a flicker and to improve the quality picture image.

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Zavracky further teaches the high-level common voltage is equal to a gate high voltage applied to a gate electrode of a thin film in transistor of the liquid crystal cell (Figs. 12A and 12B, col. 10, lines 55-67, col. 11, lines 1-22).

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Zavracky further teaches the low-level common voltage is equal to a gate low voltage applied to a gate electrode of a thin film transistor in the liquid crystal cell (Figs. 12A and 12B, col. 10, lines 55-67, col. 11, lines 1-22).

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed 01/05/2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to applicants' argument filed "Zavracky fails to teach or suggest during one display frame including the steps of applying one of a high-level common voltage and low-level common voltage to a plurality of liquid crystal cells and applying a reference common voltage to a plurality of liquid crystal cells". However, Zavracky teaches during one display frame (i.e., frame 1) including the steps of applying one of a high-level common voltage (i.e., Vcom high) and low-level common voltage (i.e., Vcom low) to a plurality of liquid crystal cells (i.e., Cpix)

Art Unit: 2674

1 اس

and applying a reference common voltage (Vcom) to a plurality of liquid crystal cells (Figs. 12A-12C, col. 10, lines 37-67, col. 11, lines 1-46, and col. 13, lines 23-49). Moreover, it is well known in the art to apply a reference common voltage to a plurality of liquid crystal cells in the LCD.

Therefore, it is believed that the claim limitations are still met by Zavracky and the rejection is maintained.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Art Unit: 2674

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 03/16/2004

REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER